



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,936	02/02/2004	Vlasta Brusic	100172	9573
29050	7590	09/19/2008	EXAMINER	
STEVEN WESEMAN			SMITH, NICHOLAS A	
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL, I.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CABOT MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION			1795	
870 NORTH COMMONS DRIVE				
AURORA, IL 60504				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/19/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/769,936	BRUSIC ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NICHOLAS A. SMITH	1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NICHOLAS A. SMITH. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) PHILLIP PIPPENGER. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yahalom et al (US 6951599 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Yahalom et al's apparatus and Applicant's instant apparatus were compared.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795	
---	--